

# On the Homological Dimension of Lattices

Roy Meshulam\*

August 2, 2008

## Abstract

Let  $L$  be a finite lattice and let  $\widehat{L} = L - \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$ . It is shown that if the order complex  $\Delta(\widehat{L})$  satisfies  $\tilde{H}_{k-2}(\Delta(\widehat{L})) \neq 0$  then  $|L| \geq 2^k$ . Equality  $|L| = 2^k$  holds iff  $L$  is isomorphic to the Boolean lattice  $\{0, 1\}^k$ .

## 1 Introduction

The order complex  $\Delta(P)$  of a finite poset  $(P, \leq)$  is the simplicial complex on the vertex set  $P$  whose simplices are the increasing chains  $x_0 < \cdots < x_k$  (see [1] for a thorough discussion). A result of Kozlov ([2]) implies that if the integral homology group  $\tilde{H}_k(\Delta(P))$  is non-trivial then  $|P| \geq 2(k+1)$ , with equality  $|P| = 2(k+1)$  iff  $P$  is isomorphic to the ordinal sum of  $k+1$  antichains of size 2. In this note we consider a similar question for lattices. For a lattice  $L$  with minimal element  $\hat{0}$  and maximal element  $\hat{1}$ , let  $\widehat{L} = L - \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$ .

**Example:** Let  $B_k$  denote the Boolean lattice  $\{0, 1\}^k$ . The order complex  $\Delta(\widehat{B}_k)$  coincides with the barycentric subdivision of the boundary of the  $(k-1)$ -simplex, hence  $\tilde{H}_{k-2}(\Delta(\widehat{B}_k)) \neq 0$ . Here we show that  $B_k$  is the unique smallest lattice with non-trivial  $(k-2)$ -dimensional homology.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If a lattice  $L$  satisfies  $\tilde{H}_{k-2}(\Delta(\widehat{L})) \neq 0$ , then  $|L| \geq 2^k$ . Equality  $|L| = 2^k$  holds iff  $L \cong B_k$ .*

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel. e-mail: meshulam@math.technion.ac.il . Research supported by the Israel Science Foundation.

Let  $\tilde{\beta}_i(\Delta(\widehat{L}))$  denote the  $i$ -th reduced Betti number of  $\Delta(\widehat{L})$ . Then  $\mu(L)$ , the Möbius function of  $L$ , is equal to the reduced Euler characteristic  $\tilde{\chi}(\Delta(\widehat{L})) = \sum_i (-1)^i \tilde{\beta}_i(\Delta(\widehat{L}))$ . It is conjectured that if  $|L| = n$  then  $\mu(L) = o(n^2)$ . Edelman and Kahn have shown (see [5]) that  $\mu(L) \leq n^{\log_2 n}$ . Theorem 1.1 implies the following slightly stronger result.

**Corollary 1.2.** *If  $L$  is a lattice on  $n$  elements then*

$$\sum_i \tilde{\beta}_i(\Delta(\widehat{L})) \leq n^{\log_2 n} .$$

In Section 2 we establish Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 using an idea from the Edelman-Kahn proof of the upper bound on  $\mu(L)$ .

We conclude the introduction with a conjecture concerning the relation between the reduced Betti numbers of  $\Delta(\widehat{L})$  and the cardinality of  $L$ . For a prime power  $q$ , let  $L_k(q)$  denote the lattice of linear subspaces of the  $k$ -dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then  $\tilde{\beta}_{k-2}(\Delta(\widehat{L}_k(q))) = q^{\binom{k}{2}}$  and  $|L_k(q)| = \sum_{i=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q$  where  $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q = \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{q^k - q^j}{q^i - q^j}$  is the number of  $i$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  (see e.g. [4]).

**Conjecture 1.3.** *If a lattice  $L$  satisfies  $\tilde{\beta}_{k-2}(\Delta(\widehat{L})) \geq q^{\binom{k}{2}}$  then  $|L| \geq \sum_{i=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q$ .*

Note that  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q = \binom{k}{i}$ . Hence Theorem 1.1 may be viewed as the  $q = 1$  case of Conjecture 1.3.

## 2 Homological Dimension of Lattices

We prove Theorem 1.1 by induction on  $k$ . The case  $k = 1$  is clear. Suppose  $k \geq 2$  and let  $L$  be a lattice of minimal cardinality such that  $\tilde{H}_{k-2}(\Delta(\widehat{L})) \neq 0$ . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary atom of  $L$ . The following observation is due to Quillen ([3]). For completeness we include the proof.

**Claim 2.1.** *If  $x \leq y$  for all coatoms  $y \in L$ , then  $\Delta(\widehat{L})$  is contractible.*

**Proof:** Let  $P, Q$  be two posets. Recall (see [1]) that if  $f, g : P \rightarrow Q$  are two order-preserving maps such that  $f(p) \leq g(p)$  for all  $p \in P$ , then the induced

simplicial maps  $f, g : \Delta(P) \rightarrow \Delta(Q)$  are homotopic. Now let  $P = Q = \widehat{L}$  and for  $p \in P$  let  $f(p) = x$ ,  $g(p) = p \vee x$  and  $h(p) = p$ . Then  $f(p) \leq g(p) \geq h(p)$  for all  $p$  and thus

$$\text{constant map} = f \simeq g \simeq h = \text{identity map} .$$

Therefore  $\Delta(\widehat{L})$  is contractible. □

By Claim 2.1 there exists a coatom  $y$  such that  $x \not\leq y$ . Consider the lattices  $L' = [\widehat{0}, y]$  and  $L'' = [x, \widehat{1}]$ . Then  $L' \cap L'' = \emptyset$ , hence either  $|L'| \leq \frac{|L|}{2}$  or  $|L''| \leq \frac{|L|}{2}$ . By reversing the order if necessary, we may assume that  $|L''| \leq \frac{|L|}{2}$ . Let  $M$  be the lattice  $L - \{x\}$ . Write  $A = \Delta(\widehat{L})$ ,  $B = \Delta([x, \widehat{1}])$ ,  $C = \Delta(\widehat{M})$  and  $D = \Delta(\widehat{L}'')$ . Then  $A = B \cup C$  and  $B \cap C = D$ . Note that  $\tilde{H}_{k-2}(B) = 0$  since  $B$  is a cone on  $x$ , and  $\tilde{H}_{k-2}(C) = 0$  by the minimality assumption on  $L$ . It thus follows from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$0 = \tilde{H}_{k-2}(B) \oplus \tilde{H}_{k-2}(C) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-2}(A) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-3}(D)$$

that  $\tilde{H}_{k-3}(D) \neq 0$ . Therefore by induction  $|[x, \widehat{1}]| = |L''| \geq 2^{k-1}$ , hence  $|L| \geq 2|L''| \geq 2^k$ .

Suppose now that  $|L| = 2^k$ . The argument above implies that  $|L'| = |L''| = 2^{k-1}$  and that both  $\tilde{H}_{k-3}(\Delta(\widehat{L}'))$  and  $\tilde{H}_{k-3}(\Delta(\widehat{L}''))$  are non-trivial. Therefore by the induction hypothesis  $L' \cong B_{k-1} \cong L''$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  denote the atoms of  $L$ , and for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$  let  $y_i$  be a coatom of  $L$  such that  $x_i \not\leq y_i$ . Let  $L'_i = [\widehat{0}, y_i]$  and  $L''_i = [x_i, \widehat{1}]$ . By the above it follows that  $L'_i \cup L''_i = L$  and  $L'_i \cong B_{k-1} \cong L''_i$ . Note that the first statement implies that  $x_i \leq y_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

For a vector  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{k-1} = B_{k-1}$  and an  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$  let  $S_\epsilon(u) = \{1 \leq i \leq k-1 : u_i = \epsilon\}$ . Since  $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}$  are the atoms of  $L'_m \cong B_{k-1}$ , it follows that  $m = k$  and that the map  $\phi_0 : B_{k-1} \rightarrow L'_k$  given by  $\phi_0(u) = \bigvee_{i \in S_1(u)} x_i$  is an isomorphism. Similarly, the map  $\phi_1 : B_{k-1} \rightarrow L''_k$  given by  $\phi_1(u) = \bigwedge_{i \in S_0(u)} y_i$  is an isomorphism. We now claim that the map  $\phi : B_k \rightarrow L$  given on  $(u, \epsilon) \in \{0, 1\}^{k-1} \times \{0, 1\}$  by  $\phi(u, \epsilon) = \phi_\epsilon(u)$  is an isomorphism.  $\phi$  is clearly bijective. It remains to show that  $\phi$  is a lattice map. We will check that  $\phi$  preserves the meet, i.e.  $\phi_{\epsilon \wedge \epsilon'}(u \wedge v) = \phi_\epsilon(u) \wedge \phi_{\epsilon'}(v)$ .

If  $\epsilon = \epsilon'$  then this follows from the corresponding property of  $\phi_\epsilon$ . For  $\epsilon = 0, \epsilon' = 1$  we have to show that

$$\bigvee_{i \in S_1(u \wedge v)} x_i = \bigvee_{i \in S_1(u)} x_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in S_0(v)} y_j. \quad (1)$$

The righthand side of (1) belongs to  $L'_m$  hence

$$\bigvee_{i \in S_1(u)} x_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in S_0(v)} y_j = \bigvee_{i \in S_1(w)} x_i$$

for some  $w \in \{0, 1\}^{k-1}$ . Since  $x_i \not\leq y_i$  for all  $i$  it follows that  $w \leq u \wedge v$ . The reverse inequality  $u \wedge v \leq w$  is a consequence of the fact that  $x_i \leq y_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Therefore  $w = u \wedge v$  and thus (1) holds. The proof that  $\phi$  preserves the join is similar. □

**Proof of Corollary 1.2:** Let  $|L| = n$ . Since  $\Delta(\widehat{L})$  is a complex on  $n - 2$  vertices its Betti numbers satisfy  $\tilde{\beta}_i(\Delta(\widehat{L})) \leq \binom{n-3}{i+1}$  for all  $i$ . Theorem 1.1 on the other hand implies that  $\tilde{\beta}_i(\Delta(\widehat{L})) = 0$  for  $i > \log_2 n - 2$ . Hence

$$\sum_i \tilde{\beta}_i(\Delta(\widehat{L})) \leq \sum_{i \leq \log_2 n - 2} \binom{n-3}{i+1} < n^{\log_2 n}.$$

□

## References

- [1] A. Björner, Topological methods, in *Handbook of Combinatorics* (R. Graham, M. Grötschel, and L. Lovász, Eds.), 1819–1872, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [2] D. Kozlov, Convex hulls of  $f$ - and  $\beta$ -vectors. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **18**(1997) 421–431.
- [3] D. Quillen, Homotopy properties of the poset of nontrivial  $p$ -subgroups of a group. *Adv. in Math.* **28**(1978) 101–128.

- [4] R. Stanley, Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 49. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [5] G. Ziegler, Posets with maximal Möbius function, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **56**(1991) 203–222.